

# TRIKE RACER HPV VIDEO SLAM COMPETITION 2018

## JUDGING RUBRIC



www.pedalprix.com.au



### Tier One: Does the video follow the guidelines?

Does the video run within and up to the 4 minute time limit? Yes/No

Does the footage only include the 2018 Murray Bridge event? Yes/No

Are any copyrighted materials used in the video with missing credits? Yes/No

Is any portion of the video inappropriate? Yes/No

### Tier Two: Quality of Video & Story

**20% – Content Quality: Does the video clearly explain a HPV Murray Bridge 24 hour race story?**

#### 5 Points

HPV race and story is clearly all tied in giving strong connections to the experience at Murray Bridge

#### 4 Points

HPV racing and story is tied in with a fairly clear connection to the experience at Murray Bridge

#### 3 Points

HPV racing is showing but not the connection or story to the experience at Murray Bridge

#### 1 Point

HPV racing story isn't really shown or any particular connection to the experience at Murray Bridge

**20% – Does the video explain the chosen story or theme of a rider, team, school or overall HPV race?**

#### 5 Points

The video expertly makes and shows the connections in the story and theme

#### 4 Points

The video explains the story and theme however not quite connected

#### 3 Points

The video states the story or theme however very little continuity

#### 1 Point

The video doesn't have a particular story or theme

**20% – Logical Story: Is the story appropriate and logical?**

#### 5 Points

A strong story is presented that is both logical and appropriate

#### 4 Points

A story is offered but doesn't clearly connect in the video. The story is appropriate.

#### 3 Points

A story is offered but does not consistently match the video's editing

#### 1 Point

No story is offered

**20% – Memorable/Creative: How well does the video draw in the viewer and keep their attention?**

#### 5 Points

Viewer is left with a strong understanding of the story, will remember the video, and feels like they want to engage more

#### 4 Points

Viewer is left with general understanding and will remember the video

#### 3 Points

Viewer mostly understands the story and might remember the video. Some elements may distract from viewing experience

#### 1 Point

Video is unclear on the story and random

**20% – Production: What is the overall quality of production (including visual and sound elements)?**

#### 5 Points

Video is well planned with smooth transitions and edits. Sound is well balanced, consistent and easy to hear. Quality graphics included. All sound and visual elements coincide with the video's story

#### 4 Points

Video is well planned with competent edits. Sound is well balanced and easy to hear. Some graphics included. Most sound and visual elements blend with video's story

#### 3 Points

Video was somewhat planned. Transitions and edits are basic. Sound is reasonably balanced. Low quality graphics. Some sound and visual elements are distracting

#### 1 Point

Video is not well planned and has very average edits. Sound is of poor quality. No graphics. Many sound and visual elements distract from the video's message

Judges decisions are final